SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

| Robert Pizarro, Petitioner |
|---|
| -VS- United States of American Respondent UN 27 2025 United States of American JUN 27 2025 United States of American JUN 27 2025 |
| PRO SE OFFICE |
| Motion To Withdraw Pending Rule 60(b) Motion Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 10(a)-(b) |
| COMES NOW, Petitioner Robert Pizarro, Pursuant to the above captioned, currently before this Court is Petitioner's \$2255 Motion to vacate sentence & Petitioner Moves this Court to GRA-NT this Motion for Withdrawal of his pending Rule 60 (6) Motion, in Support Petitioner states the following: |
| (1). Petitioner respectfully request withdrawal of his Rule 60(b) Motion because the Motion is/was prematurely filed on this Court. |
| (2). Petitioner respectfully request that this Court Withdraw his Ru- le 60(6) Motion Without prejudice, so that if need be, the claims raised may be adjudicated at a later date. |
| (3). More over, Petitioner's Motion to Vacate Sentence is currently pending before this Honorable Court, & redress/relief Petitioner so Seeks can be <u>GRANTED</u> by this Court. |

| WHEREFORE, for the foregoing FACTS & REASONS Petitioner |
|--|
| WHEREFORE, for the foregoing FACTS & REASONS Petitioner request respectfully that this Court <u>GRANT</u> this Motion & withdraw Petitioner's Rule 60(6) Motion Without prejudice as premature. |
| Respectfully Submitted, |
| Respectfully Submitted, Mr. Robert Pizarro Robert Pizarro |
| <u>Dated</u> : June. 10, 2025 |
| |
| The motion is DENIED as moot given that the Court already adjudicated petitioner's Rule 60(b) motion, construing it as a motion to amend his habeas petition. See Dkt. 42. The Clerk of Court is respectfully directed to terminate the motion at Dkt. 43. |
| SO ORDERED. |
| Arun Subramanian, U.S.D.J. |
| Date: July 2, 2025 |
| |
| |
| |

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

| WHEREFORE, Petitioner, Robert Pizarro do hereby certification June 10, 2025 I caused the foregoing Withdrawal Motion |
|---|
| Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a); 10(a)(b) to be served on the United Stotes District Court for the Southern District of New York via |
| prepaid first class postage pursuant to the Prison mailbox Fule/Houston v. Lack, 487 u.s. 266, 270-273 (1988) / Fed. R. App. P. |
| Fule/Houston v. Lack, 487 U.S. 266, 270-273 (1988) / Fed. R. App. P. 4(c)(1). |
| |
| |
| Robert Pizarro |
| Signature <u>Date</u> : June. 10, 2025. |
| |
| Cert, mgil #9589-0710-5270-0624-2073-18 |
| • |
| |

